

ARGUMENTS/REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this Application, in light of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

This request for Reconsideration is in response to the Office Action mailed on October 2, 2008. Claims 1-3 and 7-15 are pending in the Application. Claims 1-3 and 7-15 are amended. Claims 4-6 are cancelled without prejudice. The Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 6-15.

Summarizing the outstanding Office Action, the specification was objected to for reasons stated in the office action; The claims were objected to for reasons stated in the office action; Claims 3 and 15 were rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph as stated in the office action; Claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Mowill (US 4,428,714); and Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Yagi (US 5,460,484).

The specification and claims are amended to overcome the objections stated in the office action.

Claims 3 and 15 are amended to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

Turning to the outstanding rejections of claims 1-4 under 35 USC 102(b) over Mowill and claims 1-5 under 35 USC 102(b) over Yagi, Claim 1 is amended to include the allowable subject matter of claim 6, and the intervening claims 4 and 5, whereby claim 1 now recites that the vane system includes a mechanical system having a connection of each adjustable vane of the second row to a shaft by a first leverage suitable for receiving a rotation imparted by an actuator, each adjustable vane being connected, through a respective foot produced in the form of a shaft, to the

first leverage, in turn connected by a first rotating ring pin, to a disk which receives rotational movement induced by the shaft, and the first leverage comprises a lever fixed at one end to said foot of the adjustable vane and hinged at the other end to a tie rod by a second rotating ring pin.

As indicated by the Examiner in the instant Office Action, neither Mowill nor Yagi (or any of the other cited prior art) disclose or suggest the above noted features of Applicant's claim 1.

Consequently, in view of the above remarks, no further issues are believed to be outstanding in the present application, and the present application is believed to be in condition for formal allowance. A Notice of Allowance for claims 1-3 and 7-15 is earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner deem that any further action is necessary to place this application in even better form for allowance, the Examiner is encourages to contact Applicant's undersigned representatives at the below listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

/mm52020/

By: Mardson Q. McQuay
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 52,020

November 1, 2008
GE Global Patent Operation
Mailstop 861
2 Corporate Drive, Suite 648
Shelton, CT 06484
Phone: 203-944-6709
Email: mardson.mcquay@ge.com